

The 14th March, 1983

**No. ID/FD/230-82/12174.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mam Chand and the management of M/s R. M. Control Pvt., Ltd., 13/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mam Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/FD/233-82/12181.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Smt. Panni Devi and the management of M/s Universal Steel Alloy (P) Ltd., Saraiklawaja 12/6, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Smt. Panni Devi was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 15th March, 1983

**No. ID/FD/198-82/12310.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Chottey Lal and the management of M/s Saz-International Pvt., Ltd., DLF, Industrial Area, Plot No. 46—49, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254 dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Chottey Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/FD/310/82/12351.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Ashrafi Sahni and the management of M/s Bristol Paints, Plot No. 308/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ashrafi Sahni was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?